1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE				
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION			
3	3	22 0.01 + DEDAGMED +			
4	21 South Fru: Suite 10	23 - 9:01 a.m. * REDACTED * it Street [For PUBLIC Use]			
5	Concord, NH				
6					
7	RF•	DG 23-034			
8	1(11.	LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY-KEENE DIVISION:			
9		Summer 2023 Cost of Gas.			
10					
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Daniel C. Goldner, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Pradip K. Chattopadhyay			
12 13		Eric Wind, Esq./PUC Legal Advisor			
14		Tracey Russo, Clerk			
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty-			
16		Keene Division: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.			
17		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy:			
18		Mary E. Schwarzer, Esq. Faisal Deen Arif, Dir./Gas Group			
19		(Regulatory Support Division)			
20					
21					
22					
23	Court Rep	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52			
24		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]			

1					
2	INDEX				
3		PAGE NO.			
4	ISSUE RE: PREMARKED/PREFILED EXHIBITS	6			
5	ISSUE RE: PRESENTATION OF WITNESSES	6			
6	ISSUE RE: CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION	7			
7					
8	WITNESS PANEL: DEBORAH M. GILBERTSON				
9	JAMES M. KING				
9	Direct examination by Mr. Sheehan	8			
10	Cross-examination by Ms. Schwarzer				
_ ,		43, 46			
11	Questions by Chairman Goldner	67			
	Redirect examination by Mr. Sheehan	68			
12					
13	WITNESS: FAISAL DEEN ARIF				
14	Direct examination by Ms. Schwarzer	72			
	Cross-examination by Mr. Sheehan	78			
15	Questions by Cmsr. Chattopadhyay	8 0			
16					
10	ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE TAKEN OF	8 5			
17	EXHIBIT 49 IN DG 20-105				
	(Specifically Section 7.0 and 7.1,				
18	and Appendices 4 and 5)				
19	READER'S NOTE: CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION REDACTED AND NOTED WITH				
20	AN UNDERLINE IN BOLD				
21	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:				
22	Ms. Schwarzer	8 6			
23	Mr. Sheehan	87			
24					

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Direct Testimony of Deborah M.	premarked
5		<pre>Gilbertson and James M. King, with Attachments {CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}</pre>	
6	2		nnomankad
7	۷	Direct Testimony of Deborah M. Gilbertson and James M. King, with Attachments	premarked
8		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]	
9	3	Revised Final Audit Report, 2022 Summer Cost of Gas	premarked
10		Reconciliation, dated March 31, 2023	
11		{CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
12	4	Revised Final Audit Report, 2022 Summer Cost of Gas	premarked
13		Reconciliation, dated March 31, 2023	
14		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]	
15	5	Revised schedules, Bates R022 through Bates R037	premarked
16		{CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
17	6	Revised Schedules, Bates R022 through Bates R037	premarked
18		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]	
19	7	Liberty's Responses to DOE Data Requests, Set 1, dated April 12	premarked
20		and April 14, 2023 (with revised response to DR 1-8 and without	
21		Attachment 1.2 (b) filed here as Exh. 3). See DOE cover letter	
22		for a list of DRs and corresponding topics.	
23		{CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
24			

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	8	Liberty's Responses to DOE Data Requests, Set 1, dated April 12	premarked
5		and April 14, 2023 (with revised response to DR 1-8 and without	
6		Attachment 1.2(b) filed here as Exh. 4 and without Attachment DOE 1-9.2.xlsx as all content appears confidential). See DOE cover letter for a list of DRs and corresponding topics.	
7			
8			
9		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]	
10	9	Illustrative tariffs, redlined and clean	premarked
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 PROCEEDING 2. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Good morning, 3 everyone. I'm Commissioner Goldner. I'm joined 4 today by Commissioner Chattopadhyay. 5 We're here this morning in Docket DG 6 23-034, in which the Commission docketed Liberty 7 Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) 2023 Summer 8 Cost of Gas filing. As stated on the March 30th, 9 2023, prehearing conference, the Commission's 10 jurisdiction over this matter is based on the 11 just and reasonable ratemaking standard of RSA 374:2 and RSA 378:7. 12 1.3 First, let's take appearances, 1 4 beginning with the Company. 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning, 16 Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty 17 Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. 18 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And is 19 the OCA here today? 20 [No indication given.] 2.1 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: No? Okay. And the 2.2 Department of Energy? 23 MS. SCHWARZER: Good morning, Mr.

Mary Schwarzer, Staff Attorney with

24

Chairman.

```
1
         the Department of Energy. And with me is our Gas
 2.
         Director, Faisal Deen Arif.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
                                       Thank you.
 4
                    I'll note that we have prefiled and
 5
         premarked for identification Exhibits 1
 6
         through 9. Are there any preliminary matters
 7
         regarding these exhibits?
 8
                    [No verbal response.]
 9
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: No? Seeing none.
10
                    We're expecting three witnesses today.
11
         Do the parties intend to submit the three
12
         witnesses as a panel, or will Liberty present its
         witnesses, and then the DOE witness? I think I
1.3
14
         know the answer to that, but --
15
                    MR. SHEEHAN: The former.
16
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
                                       The former.
17
                    MR. SHEEHAN: My understanding is that
18
         Mr. Arif would be just commenting on some
19
         high-level issues in response to our testimony.
20
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
2.1
                    MS. SCHWARZER: And, Mr. Chairman, I
2.2
         would like the Department's position to be on the
23
         record.
                  So, he may make a very brief statement,
24
         but not as part of a panel.
```

1 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay, and 2. would the Department be opposed to Mr. Arif 3 taking the stand after the Liberty witnesses? 4 MS. SCHWARZER: No, that would be our 5 preference as well. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. Okay. 7 Very good. Okay. Anything marked as 8 "confidential" will be kept as confidential in 9 the hearing exhibits. 10 11 Are there any other preliminary matters 12 that we need to address, before we hear from the 1.3 witnesses? 14 MS. SCHWARZER: Mr. Chairman, I would 15 just say that, because Liberty has indicated that 16 the CNG per therm charges are confidential, there 17 may be, on occasion, answers that would reveal 18 that information. I don't see anyone in the 19 hearing room that is not permitted to hear that 20 confidential information. But I just want to 2.1 raise that for the Commission's consideration. 2.2 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good. 23 If there is anything confidential that's asked of

the witnesses, please notify the Commissioners

24

```
1
         and the Court Reporter, so that we can mark it as
 2.
          "confidential".
 3
                    Okay. Very good. Let's move on and
 4
         swear in the witnesses.
 5
                    (Whereupon DEBORAH M. GILBERTSON and
 6
                    JAMES M. KING were duly sworn by the
 7
                    Court Reporter.)
 8
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.
 9
         We'll begin with direct, and Attorney Sheehan.
10
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Thank you.
11
                  DEBORAH M. GILBERTSON, SWORN
12
                      JAMES M. KING, SWORN
1.3
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
15
         Ms. Gilbertson, please introduce yourself and
16
         describe your position with Liberty?
17
         (Gilbertson) My name is Debbie Gilbertson. I'm
18
         the Senior Manager of Energy Procurement for
19
         Liberty Utilities.
20
         And, Ms. Gilbertson, did you prepare testimony
21
         and schedules that have been marked initially as
2.2
         "Exhibits 1" and "2", 1 confidential and 2
23
         redacted, of the same documents?
24
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
```

```
1
         And we filed revised schedules on Friday, which
         have been marked "5" and "6", confidential and
 2
 3
         redacted. Did you participate in those revisions
 4
         or was that just Mr. King?
 5
          (Gilbertson) That was just Mr. King.
 6
         Okay. So, as to Exhibits 1 and 2, the portions
 7
         you played a role in, do you have any changes
 8
         you'd like to point out to the Commission this
 9
         morning?
10
         (Gilbertson) Yes, I do.
11
         And what would that be?
12
         (Gilbertson) On Bates Page 012, Lines 11 and 15,
13
         the testimony references "Schedule J-1" and
         "J-2"; it should be "D-1" and "D-2",
14
15
         respectively.
16
         Other than those changes, as to the portions of
17
         the testimony and schedules you were responsible
18
         for, do you have any further comments or changes
19
         this morning?
20
         (Gilbertson) No.
21
         And do you adopt your testimony and your work on
    Q
22
         the schedules as your sworn testimony this
23
         morning?
24
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
```

```
1
         And, just briefly, Ms. Gilbertson, at a high
 2
         level, this cost of gas docket, did it follow the
 3
         same process from your end as it has in years
 4
         prior?
 5
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
 6
         Is there anything different that you did in
 7
         preparing and getting the gas for Keene and
 8
         preparing this filing than you've done in prior
 9
         vears?
10
          (Gilbertson) No.
11
         And can you just give us a brief comment on how
12
         the market has changed from a year ago this time,
1.3
         when we were doing a summer cost of gas for
14
         Keene?
15
          (Gilbertson) The market has come down
    Α
16
         considerably since last year at this time.
17
         Okay. And Mr. King will have the rates itself,
18
         the rates that we're proposing, but there has
19
         been a significant change over the last twelve
20
         months?
21
          (Gilbertson) Yes. I believe it's going down
    Α
22
         about 35 percent.
23
    Q
         Okay. Thank you. Mr. King, please introduce
24
         yourself and your position with Liberty?
```

```
1
          (King) James King, Analyst II, with Liberty
 2
         Utilities Service Company, in the Rates and
 3
         Regulatory Affairs Department.
 4
         And, Mr. King, you were also an author of the
 5
         testimony that's been marked as "Exhibits 1"
 6
         and "2", and the supporting schedules, both parts
 7
         of 1 and 2 and 5 and 6, is that correct?
 8
         (King) That's correct, yes.
 9
         And do you have any changes, put aside the
10
         changes that caused the filing of 5 and 6, we'll
11
         talk about those in a minute, but any over
12
         changes or corrections to your portion of the
13
         testimony and schedules?
14
         (King) I do not, no.
    Α
15
         And do you adopt those documents as your sworn
16
         testimony this morning?
17
    Α
         (King) I do.
18
         And could you explain for us what the reason was
19
         for filing the revised schedules that have been
20
         marked "5", confidential, and "6", redacted?
21
         (King) Yes. Certainly. There was a change the
    Α
22
         Company made on Schedule N, and the figure from
23
         Schedule N flows through to Schedule B, Page 1.
24
         So, the changes we made on Schedule N were for
```

```
1
         the total 2023 projected costs for the
 2
         incremental difference of CNG and propane.
 3
    Q
         And what does Schedule N generally provide for?
 4
         (King) Schedule N is the calculation and balance
 5
         of the costs that haven't been recovered or have
 6
         been recovered to form the incremental
 7
         CNG/propane differences.
 8
         And, just to remind the Commissioners, from a few
    Q
 9
         years ago, we were asked to separately track the
10
         cost of CNG and propane, and share with customers
11
         either the higher cost of CNG or the lower cost
12
         of CNG, is that fair?
1.3
         (King) Yes.
14
         And, although it seems a simple concept, it's
15
         rather complicated to carry out, is that fair?
16
         (King) Yes. There's a lot of -- a lot of pieces
17
         that need to go together.
18
         And what was the piece that changed that caused
    Q
19
         the revised exhibits?
20
         (King) So, as far of the Settlement Agreement,
21
         one of the last lines is that -- so, it's fairly
2.2
         lengthy, but it's just the last portion that we
23
         have. So, I'll say the whole snippet from the
24
         Settlement Agreement and talk about the change.
```

1 "If the CNG supply cost is lower than the propane 2. supply cost, the Company shall recover and retain 3 full amount of the incrementally lower CNG supply 4 costs up to the amount of the incrementally 5 higher CNG costs accrued since the commencement 6 of CNG service, which have not been recovered 7 from customers, at which point the Company shall recover and retain one-half of the incrementally 8 9 lower CNG supply costs." 10 In our original Schedule N, that last 11 part of the sentence, "retain one-half of the 12 incrementally lower CNG supply costs", the 1.3 Company didn't take half of that to put back into 14 the balance, we put the full amount into the 15 balance. So, the correction we made on 16 Schedule N was to reduce the amount for 2021 that 17 was going back into the balance. 18 So, there was a benefit, if you will, coming from Q 19 the CNG being lower cost than propane that should 20 have been shared 50/50 between the Company and 21 customers, and that originally you had allocated 2.2 it all to the Company? 23 (King) That's correct. Α 24 Okay. And, by going back and allocating it

```
1
         50/50, as you say, that flowed through the
 2.
         schedules, and it ends up causing a slight change
 3
         in the proposed rate?
 4
          (King) Yes. There was about a $1,100 difference
 5
         between the costs originally proposed and
 6
         proposed in the update.
 7
         So, looking at Exhibit 5, the confidential
    Q
 8
         revised schedules, the Company marked the entire
 9
         package of schedules, is that correct? Exhibit 5
10
         contains all of the schedules?
11
    Α
          (King) Oh, yes. Yes.
12
         The thought being, to prevent the need from
1.3
         flipping back and forth between the original
14
         filing and the revised, is that fair?
15
    Α
          (King) Yes.
16
         But the only changes, as you say, were to
17
         Schedules N and B?
18
         (King) Yes. There's one number that changed on N
    Α
19
         that flowed through to one line item on
20
         Schedule B.
21
         Can you point the Commissioners to where, on
    Q
2.2
         Exhibit 5, is the proposed cost of gas rate for
23
         Keene, the final number that we're asking the
24
         Commission to approve?
```

```
1
          (King) Yes. On Schedule B, on -- whoops, sorry.
 2
         On Line -- sorry, it took me a second, on Line 26
 3
         of Schedule B, Page 1, is the rate that we're
 4
         currently proposing of "1.4795". The original
 5
         rate that we had proposed was "1.4761". So, it's
 6
         about a third of a penny difference between the
 7
         original and the updated.
 8
         Thank you. And, of course, in Keene, there's a
    Q
 9
         single cost of gas rate which blends the propane
10
         and CNG costs?
11
    Α
         (King) Yes.
12
         Did you adjust the bill impact calculation as
1.3
         well?
14
         (King) Yes. So, inputting the new rates into the
15
         bill impact, it's about a 13 percent difference
16
         from last year's costs on the season.
17
         And those numbers are?
18
         (King) For a residential customer with average
19
         use, the total bill for last summer would have
20
         been $274, and this season the projected cost to
21
         be $238. So, there's about a $36 difference
22
         between the average bill last summer and this
23
         summer.
24
         Thank you.
                      Turning back to you, Ms. Gilbertson.
```

1.3

2.2

In the Order of Notice in this case, the Commission specifically asked that we talk about the Propane Stabilization Program. The Order cited -- the Commission's recent Order cited some of its earlier orders back in 2006 or so that established the program. So, I'm just going to ask you a couple questions on that.

Pulling up the Commission's procedural order of April 4, and it says: "In Order Number 24,745", which is a 2007 order, "at Page 6, the Commission states that it expects the Company to "exercise its best judgment as to when and how much propane to pre-purchase in any given year, a key consideration being the reasonableness of the premium". The Commission therefore expects that Liberty-Keene will be prepared to discuss the use of discretion in executing its Propane Purchasing Stabilization Plan in 2023, as well as current market conditions."

So, we did talk about this at the prehearing conference, Ms. Gilbertson. But give us sort of a high-level description of what the Propane Purchasing Program is?

A (Gilbertson) Okay. The Propane Stabilization

```
1
         Plan is a -- it's a pre-purchase of winter
 2
         supplies in the summer. And the goal is to
 3
         ensure that we have adequate supply going into
 4
         the following winter. And what we do is we
 5
         purchase the physical supply in the summertime,
 6
         based on a schedule, about 700,000 gallons in
 7
         total, based on a schedule, which ensures that we
 8
         have the supply going into the winter season.
         And what we do is we lock in the -- we look in a
 9
10
         price based on the Mont Belvieu forward prices
11
         from November through April, plus a premium, a
12
         basis premium.
13
         Let me stop you there for a minute. So, at a
14
         high level, you are buying the gas, committing to
15
         it in the summer, to be available in the winter?
16
         (Gilbertson) That's right. And we're locking in
17
         the price in the summer, --
18
         Right.
    Q
19
         (Gilbertson) -- for readiness in the winter.
    Α
20
         So, when the Plan is completed in the fall,
21
         you're done making all these decisions, you now
         have a commitment from suppliers to supply
22
23
         700,000 gallons during the upcoming winter?
24
          (Gilbertson) That's right.
```

```
1
         And that's to avoid the possibility of having a
 2
         cold weekend and having those suppliers say "I
 3
         don't have any gas for you." That's what you're
 4
         trying to avoid?
 5
         (Gilbertson) Yes. Keene has no storage. So, we
 6
         have to ensure that we have guaranteed supply in
 7
         the coming winter.
 8
         So, the second part of the Plan, as you were
    Q
 9
         starting to say is, is there is a pricing
10
         mechanism that we have two components, one is the
11
         forward market price, and the second is the cost
12
         to get that price -- that gas to Keene, is that
13
         correct?
14
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
15
         And the price to get the Mont Belvieu priced gas
16
         to Keene is the basis?
17
         (Gilbertson) That's correct.
18
         So, if Mont Belvieu is a dollar, and the basis is
    Q
19
         a dollar, you'll have a commitment for two dollar
20
         gas in Keene?
21
         (Gilbertson) Theoretically, yes.
2.2
    Q
         Okay. So, how do go about buying the gas over
23
         the course of the summer to meet that 700,000
24
         gallons?
```

```
1
          (Gilbertson) So, there is a table, a structure,
 2
         that dictates how much gas per month you're going
 3
         to buy on the forward market, being November
 4
         through April. And once a month we tell the
 5
         supplier that won the bid to go out and purchase
 6
         that supply. At that day, they look at the Mont
 7
         Belvieu price for the winter strip, and they lock
 8
         it in. And you add that price to their basis
 9
         price, and that will be the price incrementally
10
         per month, based on the forward pricing at Mont
11
         Belvieu, for the total of that month. And you
12
         would repeat that each month during this
1.3
         six-month period.
14
         So, you said you had a "supplier who has won the
    Q
         bid"?
15
16
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
17
         And am I correct in saying the bid that that
18
         supplier won was the basis? They had the lowest
19
         cost --
20
         (Gilbertson) That's correct.
21
         -- to bring it to Keene?
2.2
         (Gilbertson) That is correct.
23
         And, otherwise, the price floats with whatever
24
         the market is telling you it's going to be in
```

```
1
         those futures?
 2
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
 3
         So, again, for a simple example, the winning
 4
         supplier bid one dollar for basis, that supplier
 5
         is going to go look at Mont Belvieu to see what's
 6
         available in January, and add the one dollar to
 7
         that price, and that's what we pay?
 8
          (Gilbertson) They're going to look at the strip
 9
         of November through April of Mont Belvieu, and
10
         add that one dollar to every single one of those
11
         forward price months.
12
         Well, then, let's go back to the month-by-month.
1.3
         On the first time you purchase, say it's once a
14
         month, the Company is buying some gas for every
15
         month of the winter period on that day?
16
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
17
         And, then, the next month you buy a little bit
18
         more gas for every month of the winter period?
19
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
    Α
20
         And, when it all adds up, it's 700,000, allocated
21
         month-by-month, as the Company -- based on
22
         experience --
23
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Right.
24
         -- of what we need?
```

```
1
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
 2
         Okay. And has this program, what we just
 3
         discussed, changed at all in recent years?
 4
         (Gilbertson) No. We've had a Purchase -- no.
 5
         Since we were, I guess, approved to have this
 6
         Stabilization Plan, we have had this
 7
         Stabilization Plan.
 8
         And this Stabilization Plan predates Liberty's
    Q
 9
         ownership of the Keene system, is that correct?
10
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
11
         And, so, we have carried through what was
         approved in these 2005, '06, '07 orders?
12
1.3
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
14
         Have you, and Mr. Tilbury who is behind me, who
15
         is part of your team, have you looked at it to
16
         see if there is a better way to do this?
17
    Α
         (Gilbertson) We always look at it. Yes, we have
18
         looked at it.
19
         And?
    0
20
         (Gilbertson) I believe the structure is a good
21
         structure. It was put in place. It serves a
2.2
         very good purpose. There's no speculation, it's
23
         spread evenly across the summer period for the
24
         winter purchases.
                             There is variation between the
```

1.3

months, we purchase a little bit more in April, a little bit more in July. We could flatline that a little better. I mean, there's no -- there's no harm in that. We can't -- we don't predict the market. I have looked back, April typically is a little lower than the other months, but that's not a guarantee.

So, there is room for improvement on maybe the percentages that we purchase each month, we could flatline it a little better. I think, if we probably want to have a nice table, that we could round it up to, you know, maybe 10 gallons. You don't want to have, like,
"116,666". You know, you just -- you want a nice table, and so they can go out and purchase. But there is a little wiggle-room I think there that you could flatline it better.

- Q And, by "flatlining", you are seeking to buy X percent of that 700,000 each month?
- A (Gilbertson) Yes. And, right now, we purchase 140,000 gallons in April and July, but we purchase 105,000 gallons in the other four months. What we could do, and still have a nice table, is do 115,000 for five months, and 125,000

```
1
         on one month, or something similar.
 2
         Okay. Now, when the Company decides to make the
 3
         purchase for, say, April, as we hear in these
         hearings, the price of propane changes every day.
 4
 5
         How do you pick the day that you say "Go buy gas
 6
         today"?
 7
          (Gilbertson) We have a consultant for other areas
    Α
 8
         where we hedge, and they watch the market for us.
 9
         So, when they indicate "today's the day", that's
10
         the day we use.
11
         So, again, you have a consultant who's trying to
    Q
12
         predict what's obviously difficult to predict,
1.3
         but that's their business, and they advise you on
14
         what they think is the best day in April to buy
15
         qas?
16
          (Gilbertson) Right.
17
         Okay. And that's what you do?
18
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
19
         And, then, you buy the percentage that you have
20
         scheduled, as we just discussed?
21
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
2.2
         And, then, May, the same process. They say
23
          "May 12th is the day to buy", and --
24
          (Gilbertson) We contact the supplier, and they go
```

```
1
         out and purchase at that point.
 2
         And the last phrase in the Commission's
 3
         prehearing order was be prepared to discuss
         "current market conditions". Can you tell us
 4
 5
         what's happened, I asked you earlier the change
 6
         from last year to today, what's been happening in
 7
         the last couple weeks with pricing, from the time
 8
         we filed until today?
 9
         (Gilbertson) The market is down, and mostly
10
         because of the warm winter that -- I mean, summer
11
         that we had -- winter that we had. Sorry.
12
         There's a lot -- what the market does is it looks
1.3
         at the five-year average, the storage, the end of
14
         our storage average, and we're well above the
15
         five-year average right now, which means that it
16
         softens up the price. We're about 35 percent
17
         lower than we were last year at this time. And
18
         even between like the middle of last week, up
19
         until like later last week, prices have changed.
20
         Propane has stayed relatively stable since we
21
         filed this docket, it's gone down about three
2.2
         cents -- sorry, six -- four cents, and CNG has
23
         gone down about, I have it backwards, sorry, --
24
         A few cents either way?
```

```
1
          (Gilbertson) Yes. Exactly.
 2
         Okay. And, as Ms. Schwarzer mentioned, the
 3
         Company does not plan to revise the rate proposed
 4
         today. We would address any fluctuations in
 5
         price with the usual monthly so-called "trigger"
 6
         filings?
 7
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
 8
                    MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have.
 9
         you.
10
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Attorney
11
                   That was very helpful.
         Sheehan.
12
                    We'll turn to the Department of Energy.
1.3
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you, Mr.
14
         Chairman.
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
16
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
17
         Just a question for Mr. King to start. If I
18
         direct your attention to Schedule N, Revised
19
         Bates Page 032, and I'm going to have to get
20
         there myself.
21
                    Sorry, it's taking me a little longer
22
         than I thought. My mouse isn't doing what I'm
23
         asking it to do.
24
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Attorney Schwarzer,
```

```
I'm operating on a single cup of coffee. Can you
 1
 2.
         forward me to the exhibit and the Bates page.
 3
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Certainly,
 4
         Mr. Chairman. It's Exhibit 5.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
 6
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Which is the revised
 7
         schedule set. And Schedule N appears at the
         Bates Page which has been marked "R032".
 8
 9
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you very much.
10
                   MS. SCHWARZER: My pleasure. Sorry, my
11
         mouse is just a little slow. Okay.
12
                    If the Commission is -- is everyone
13
         available on that page? Okay.
14
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
15
         Mr. King, I believe in testimony at one point you
16
         said the change that had been made was to "2023
17
         projected rates", and in another time you said it
18
         was to "2021 projected rates", although the
19
         actual calculation, not the projected. But my
20
         memory may be wrong.
21
                    I just would like you to clarify for
2.2
         the record, which summer period did the Company
23
         make a change in with regard to going from the
24
         incremental costs not recovered to half of that?
```

```
1
          (King) So, the change was for a figure in 2021.
 2
         So, I guess both my statements were correct.
 3
         change was for Column F, Line 6, originally, that
 4
         amount was the full, the full amount, so it was
 5
         "2,395", and we didn't reduce it originally by
 6
         half. So, the figure that's in there now, the
 7
         "$1,198", for the savings that are still to be
 8
         addressed in a future period. So, that flows
 9
         through the next three years, which changes the
10
         final amount in Column G, Line 6, for the 2023
11
         projected.
12
         Mr. King, is it also possible that a change was
1.3
         made for Summer of 2022, which shows an
         incremental costs not recoverable of "$2,506"
14
15
         that has been adjusted to "1,309"?
16
         (King) Yes. Correct. So, the change that we
17
         made was for 2021, and that flows through that
18
         table. So, yes, you are correct, that figure,
19
         the "1,309", changed as well.
20
         Okay. Thank you. And I believe this was stated
21
         on direct, but the only changes made in the
22
         revised schedules were made to Schedule B and N,
         is that correct?
23
24
          (King) And the bill impacts as well.
```

28

```
1
         And which schedule is that?
 2
          (King) The bill impacts for residential is
 3
         Schedule K, and the bill impact for commercial is
 4
         Schedule L.
 5
    Q
         Do you have the Bates page numbers for those,
 6
         just for the record?
 7
    Α
          (King) I can get them. Bates Page 030 for the
 8
         residential, and Bates Page 031 for the
 9
         commercial schedule.
10
         So, it's revised. So, "R030" and "R031" are the
11
         Bates page numbers?
12
         (King) Yes.
1.3
         Thank you. I have a question about the rate
14
         impact per therm. Was that changed as a result
15
         of the change in Schedules B and K and L?
16
         (King) Sorry, could you say that --
17
         What was the rate change?
18
         (King) Oh, the rate change. So, originally
19
         filed, the rate was 1.4761 cents per therm, and
20
         the updated rate is 1.4795 per therm, is the
21
         increase between those two.
2.2
    Q
         Has Liberty checked the NYMEX rates since the
23
         Petition was filed?
24
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
```

```
1
         And could you please tell me what you noted most
 2.
         recently with regard to the price of CNG and
 3
         propane?
 4
          (Gilbertson) Yes. So, they have both went down a
 5
         little bit. CNG went down four cents, and
 6
         propane went down three cents.
 7
    Q
         And, when you filed this originally, what were
 8
         the rates in relation to each other?
 9
          (Gilbertson) Oh, I think it was 26 cents
10
         difference between the two of them.
11
         So, they're closer now?
    Q
12
         (Gilbertson) A little bit, yup. Yes.
1.3
         Thank you. And when did you update that NYMEX
14
         rate?
15
          (Gilbertson) I looked at it yesterday, which
    Α
16
         would have been for Friday's close, NYMEX close.
17
         And I believe you said this on direct, but just
18
         for clarity and for the record. Does Liberty
19
         believe it's necessary to adjust the rates, as
20
         the result of checking the NYMEX figures?
21
          (Gilbertson) No.
    Α
2.2
         And why not?
23
          (Gilbertson) Because it will change, number one.
24
         And, during the trigger filings, we could, if the
```

```
1
         rate changes, we'll change it then.
 2
         And, again, just for the record, when you say the
 3
          "trigger filing", are you referring to the
 4
         standard Commission permission in the summer cost
 5
         of gas order that allows Liberty to increase the
 6
         cost by 25 percent in response to market
 7
         conditions, if it wishes to?
 8
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
 9
         Thank you. Did the witnesses in the panel note
10
         that, when the Department filed its exhibits, we
11
         included in the cover letter a list of the data
12
         requests by issue?
1.3
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
14
         That may make the following questions easier.
15
         I'm just going to get to my list.
16
                    Did the Department file a revised
17
         audit, or perhaps Liberty actually did,
18
         Exhibit --
19
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. We filed the audit,
20
         both confidential/redacted, as Exhibits 3 and 4.
21
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you.
2.2
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
23
         Did the revision to the audit include a
24
         correction by the Department regarding the
```

```
1
         incremental cost of gas, if you know?
 2
         (King) Can I ask what page you're referencing?
 3
         I believe Page 2 and 3 of confidential Exhibit 3.
 4
         And, so, I don't think -- I don't know if there's
 5
         a need to reference a number. But, if you were
         to reference a number, it's confidential, based
 6
 7
         upon what Liberty has told me here.
 8
         (King) Sorry. And can you ask the question
 9
         again?
10
         Sure. Was the revised audit filed in order to
11
         change calculations regarding the incremental
12
         cost of gas calculation and the over/under
1.3
         figure?
14
         (King) I believe so. That was the Department's
15
         Audit Staff that made the revision, in response
16
         to our response to their audit issues.
17
    Q
         And, if I could direct your attention back to
18
         Schedule B, and Data Request -- sorry, I can't
19
         open more than one thing on my -- Liberty's
20
         response to DOE Data Request 1-3. In the past,
21
         I believe Schedule B over/under figure has
2.2
         matched the audit figure as of the end of
23
         October 30th for the prior year that's
24
         reconciled, pursuant to Liberty's filing of, for
```

```
1
         example here, the Summer of '22 Reconciliation
 2
         Report, is that correct?
 3
    Α
         (King) Yes.
 4
         But, currently, the over/under figure, as
 5
         reflected in Schedule B, at least before the
 6
         updated schedules on Friday, was $26,705, in
 7
         contrast to the audit's over/under balance as of
 8
         October 31st, 2022, of approximately $13,000.
 9
         Could you please comment on why that difference
10
         exists?
11
         (King) Yes, certainly. So, like you said, the
12
         audit figures were for the ending balance as of
1.3
         October 31st, 2022. If we were looking at
14
         Schedule B, Page 2, what we included there was
15
         the full -- full twelve months of the year.
16
         Audit is looking at, in there, they're looking at
17
         the final numbers for October of 2022. What this
18
         schedule does is it shows the additional six
19
         months in the year where activity is happening on
20
         the cost of gas account, but wasn't necessarily
21
         included in the audit at the end of October 2023.
2.2
         So, it's taking into account that, you know, we
23
         might have billed in the end of October, and
24
         received the bill in November or December. So,
```

```
1
         those revenues will be reflected in the summer
 2.
         cost of gas account. And that's in this, what we
 3
         showed here for both expenses and revenues that,
 4
         you know, despite the cost of gas season being
 5
         six months, there's still activity on the account
 6
         for the full year, for twelve months.
 7
         And is the bulk of the activity on that account
    Q
 8
         or the complete activity on the account related
         to the allocation of demand costs over the winter
 9
10
         and summer periods, as directed in prior orders
11
         at 75 percent and 25 percent?
12
         (King) Yes.
1.3
         And could you please point out to us on the
14
         revised Schedule B which line shows the
         under/over calculation referenced in the
15
16
         Company's response to DOE 1-3? Might it be
17
         Line 36?
18
         (King) Sorry, I was just looking at DOE 1-3, to
19
         make sure I was --
20
         So, just for the record, in Exhibit 5, revised
21
         Schedule B, and I'm sorry, my mouse is just not
22
         moving. What is the Bates page number?
23
         (King) So, the final cumulative over/under for
24
         the period from May 2022 to April 2023, final
```

```
1
         over/under balance we have is 26,705, which goes
 2.
         into Schedule B for the projection of the next
 3
         six months.
 4
         And notwithstanding that Schedule B is revised,
 5
         that answer remains the same as the answer that
 6
         you made to Data Request 1-3?
 7
    Α
         (King) That is correct. Yes, the schedule,
 8
         Page 2, didn't change with my corrections.
 9
         I'd like to ask you about the lost and
10
         unaccounted-for gas figures.
11
         (King) Uh-huh.
12
         And I believe on Liberty's response to Data
1.3
         Request 1-8, could you please just share with the
14
         Commission what the lost and unaccounted-for gas
15
         figures are for propane separately, CNG
16
         separately, and then the combined figure?
17
         (King) So, first of all, I'll start with the
18
         combined, the combined lost and unaccounted-for
19
         gas for both CNG and propane is 2.11 percent.
20
         The lost and unaccounted-for for just propane is
21
         1.91 percent. And calculation for CNG for lost
2.2
         and unaccounted-for is 3.01 percent.
23
         And is it fair to say that the CNG facility is
24
         much newer than the air-propane facility?
```

```
1
         (King) That is correct.
 2
         So, can you please explain why the CNG facility
 3
         has a higher loss percentage than the air-propane
 4
         facility?
 5
         (King) So, just take that full term, "lost and
 6
         unaccounted-for". There's -- sure, there's the
 7
         loss factor that's included. But a larger
         portion of this unaccounted-for is for various
 8
 9
         reasons. Say it's a meter -- a meter is reading
10
         wrong, it's not -- it's not calculating. And,
11
         so, the difference for lost and unaccounted-for
12
         is what gets sent out into the system verse
1.3
         what's actually billed. So, there might be, you
14
         know, a couple therms going into a house that the
15
         meter isn't registering. So, there's various
16
         reasons that there's differences in lost and
         unaccounted-for, but not necessarily lost through
17
18
         leaks, which I think is partially what you're
19
         getting at.
20
         Okay. And might one of the other reasons be a
         delay in billing? Like you might bill at a
21
2.2
         future time for what you have not accounted for
23
         on a particular date that you issue the report?
24
         (King) Yes.
                      That's correct as well.
```

```
1
         And, when -- how does Liberty check for pipe --
 2.
         leaking pipes, and when is the most recent time
 3
         for CNG that that was done?
 4
         (King) That's not part of my NOP [sic] --
 5
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, I'm getting a blank
 6
         look.
                The people who do the inspections are not
 7
         Mr. King or Ms. Gilbertson, of course. I did
         have a back-and-forth with our Manager in Keene.
 8
 9
         And he pointed me to the Puc Rules, 508.04, which
10
         is actually two or three full pages of leak
11
         survey requirements, so many times a year during
12
         the winter, et cetera, et cetera. And Steve
1.3
         Rokes told me we follow that to the T, and we
14
         actually have a couple extra ones we do in Keene,
15
         because propane is a little different than
16
         natural gas.
17
                    So, to answer the question, I don't
18
         know when the last one was done. I can report
19
         that, with Safety oversight, we follow the 508.04
20
         requirements.
21
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Is that
2.2
         satisfactory, Ms. Schwarzer?
23
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Well, it does.
24
         on information, I believe, in a tech session, I
```

```
1
         believe it was sometime in December, that's what
 2.
         I was -- my understanding was.
 3
                    But I don't -- if the witnesses don't
         know, I don't know.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay.
 6
                    MS. SCHWARZER: But thank you.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay.
 8
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
 9
         The Company has discussed its propane hedging.
10
         If I could just ask a question about the
11
         reference to "we could flatline it better".
12
         Department had asked what the Company's plans
1.3
         might be for 2004. What would -- would
14
         "flatlining it better" have anything to do with
15
         relating the percentages to market price, or are
16
         you just looking for a more even table?
17
         (Gilbertson) A more even table.
18
         So, the percentage change to perhaps one month
19
         with 125, 125,000, and other months with 115,000,
20
         is not related any understanding of market price
21
         during the next summer period?
2.2
    Α
         (Gilbertson) It's not related to price. I mean,
23
         there's no guarantee that you're going to get a
24
         better price if you flatline it differently.
```

```
1
         No, I understand there's no quarantee. But I was
 2
         not understanding, if the Company is not going to
 3
         try to consider both stability and price, what
 4
         would "flatlining it better" mean?
 5
         (Gilbertson) It would just mean "a more even
 6
         table". It doesn't -- it doesn't guarantee.
 7
         It's still -- neither way is wrong, it's just a
 8
         different way.
 9
         So, I'm sorry, better would just be a
    Q
10
         mathematical proportion more evenly distributed?
11
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
12
         Lastly, I'd like to direct the Company's
1.3
         attention to testimony that referenced "Liberty
14
         is contemplating buying RNG in a future period",
15
         and those were the Company's responses, I
16
         believe, to DOE 8 and 9, it may have been 9 and
17
         10. Could the Company explain generically what
18
         RNG it is considering purchasing, and why?
19
         (Gilbertson) As far as this next -- this upcoming
    Α
20
         summer, there is no plans for RNG in the
21
         foreseeable future. I do know that our Business
22
         Development team has a dedicated person working
23
         on Keene specifically, that project. I do also
24
         know that they had put out some requests for
```

```
1
         proposals, and had gotten responses, which they
 2.
         are analyzing, but they have not come to any
 3
         conclusion where they're going to move forward
 4
         with any of the bids that they have so far.
 5
         Is Liberty -- has Liberty narrowed the range of
 6
         RFP responses down to two potential bidders?
 7
    Α
         (Gilbertson) I believe they have, but I'm not
 8
         100 percent sure. I believe that was a Business
 9
         Development answer.
10
         So, directing everyone's attention to
11
         confidential Exhibit 7, Liberty's response to
12
         Data Request 1-9. This is confidential. Is one
1.3
         of the bids Liberty is considering a bid from
14
          ?
15
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Attorney Schwarzer,
16
         can you orient me to the Bates page please?
17
                   MS. SCHWARZER: I'd be happy to do
18
         that. I need just a moment.
19
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Take your time.
20
                   MR. SHEEHAN: It's 015.
2.1
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
2.2
                   MS. SCHWARZER: The Department also
23
         filed a related live Excel spreadsheet that shows
24
         a range of information for the bidders that
```

```
responded to Liberty's RNG proposal, including
 1
 2.
         potential shared ownership status, just for the
 3
         record, noting that. A hardcopy printout is
 4
         included in the confidential exhibit, and the
 5
         live Excel spreadsheet was filed, but not marked
 6
         as an exhibit.
 7
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
 8
         Okay. I'm getting to Page 15 myself, sorry for
 9
         the delay. So, looking at DOE 1-9, the Company's
10
         response, the respondent was "Heather Tebbetts",
11
         is that correct?
12
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
13
         And, again confidential, the two bidders being
         considered are _____?
14
15
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
    Α
16
         And can you please tell me, is Liberty
17
         considering purchasing what it calls "brown RNG"?
18
         (Gilbertson) I believe the answer is "yes" to
    Α
19
         that.
20
         And what is "brown RNG"?
21
         (Gilbertson) It is -- it is natural gas that's
22
         achieved through renewables, maybe biomass or
23
         landfill, but it doesn't have the credits, the --
24
         The environmental attributes?
```

```
1
          (Gilbertson) Yes. It's just gas. So, it's
 2
         cheaper, it's less money, because you don't have
 3
         the attributes.
 4
         And would RNG, even brown RNG, need to be
 5
         decompressed, before it could be put into a
 6
         pipeline?
 7
         (Gilbertson) I don't know the answer to that.
    Α
 8
         Well, at a recent tech session was there a
 9
         discussion of Liberty potentially purchasing or
10
         using a decompression facility in Concord or
11
         Tilton?
12
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
1.3
         And was that related to RNG?
14
         (Gilbertson) Yes. But that's not that all RNG
15
         needs to be compressed. I don't know --
16
         Decompressed?
17
         (Gilbertson) Well, it would have to be
18
         compressed, before it was decompressed. So, I --
19
         Oh, okay.
    Q
20
         (Gilbertson) I don't know.
21
         Do you know if Liberty would need to use a
2.2
         decompression facility to use the brown RNG
23
         coming from _____?
24
          (Gilbertson) I don't know the answer to that.
```

```
1
         Do you know when RNG, brown or green, might be
 2
         contemplated for use in Keene and/or EnergyNorth?
 3
    Α
         (Gilbertson) I don't know.
 4
         Based upon a recent discussion, is it possible
 5
         that Liberty suggested late December of 2023 or
 6
         early January of 2024?
 7
    Α
         (Gilbertson) I'm not sure. I'm sorry.
 8
         Mr. King?
 9
         (King) I don't know either.
10
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Could Liberty's counsel
11
         be of assistance on that point?
12
                    MR. SHEEHAN: I'll testify again.
1.3
         think we are looking at RNG, and some of the
14
         Business Development folks have said "it could
15
         happen late this year." But it's not much more
16
         definite than that.
17
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
18
         And why is Liberty considering RNG potentially
19
         for Keene?
20
         (Gilbertson) There's a project in Keene that is
21
         to replace the propane, the propane-air facility.
22
         And this has been going on for many years, my
23
         understanding is. And it has started with
24
         Phase I, which was the CNG at Monadnock Plaza.
```

```
1
         And the project, in future phases, had discussed
 2.
         CNG, LNG, RNG, but I don't know the particulars
 3
         of those phases, or when that -- those phases are
 4
         going to take place.
 5
         Just a last question. In the opinion of both of
 6
         you, is Liberty's requested per them charge for
 7
         Keene, for the Summer of 2023, of 1.4795 just and
 8
         reasonable and in the public interest?
 9
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
10
         (King) Yes.
11
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you.
                                                The
12
         Department has no more questions.
1.3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. We'll
14
         turn to Commissioner questions, and Commissioner
15
         Chattopadhyay.
16
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Good morning.
17
                    WITNESS GILBERTSON: Good morning.
18
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, let's first
19
         wrap up the issue of RNG, while I'm able to
20
         retain the thoughts better that way.
21
    BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
2.2
         So, does the Company have any sense of when, if
23
         it does materialize, the RNG, you know, option,
24
         when will it be coming into the cost of gas
```

filing in the future, or is it too early to EVEN have a sense?

1.3

2.2

MR. SHEEHAN: I mean, I'll take that, because these folks don't know.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Sure.

MR. SHEEHAN: The BD folks are talking to these two providers. We've had a number of responses to our RFP, and these, for reasons I don't know, these are the two best candidates that they're talking to. I believe the reason is some of the others were really not selling the gas, they were just selling the attributes from projects elsewhere in the country.

So, it's really just a question of "Can we reach terms with these folks that work between now and November, in order to have it part of next winter's cost of gas?" If the price works, and my understanding is the facility in New Hampshire is running now, or will be running very shortly, so they'll be making gas. As to whether it, brown or green, is appropriate to include in the cost of gas.

So, "it's possible" is the short answer for this winter.

```
1
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
                                           Okay.
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Mr. Chairman, I
 2.
 3
         apologize, but there was a question I meant to
 4
         ask about RNG that I did not ask. If I could ask
 5
         it at the end or --
 6
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
                                           Sure. Go ahead.
 7
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you very much.
 8
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
 9
         Are the witnesses aware whether Liberty has
10
         contacted the Department of Energy's Enforcement
11
         Division to discuss either pipeline quality RNG
12
         assurances or the safety of any RNG facility,
1.3
         including, but not limited to, a decompression
14
         facility?
15
         (Gilbertson) I am not aware if the Business
16
         Development team has contacted the Safety
17
         Division. I don't know.
18
         Mr. King?
19
         (King) I agree with her.
    Α
20
         And I would direct you to Liberty's responses to
21
         Data Request 1-10, when I believe Liberty's
2.2
         answer was that "it had not."
23
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Okay.
24
         And that is at Page -- in the confidential
```

```
1
         document, there's quite a jump of pages because
 2.
         there's an RFP in between 9 and 10. Bates
 3
         Page -- it can't be 70 -- is it 074?
 4
                   MR. ARIF: Seventy-four.
 5
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
 6
         Seventy-four. If the witnesses could take a
 7
         quick look at Bates Page 074?
 8
         (King) Is it just the response to DOE 1-10, yes?
 9
         Yes. So, just directing you to your answer, and
         reading directly: "Liberty has not engaged with
10
11
         the Department of Energy Enforcement Division."
12
         Correct?
1.3
         (King) Yes.
    Α
14
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. And thank
15
         you for that indulgence. No further questions.
16
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No issues.
17
    BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
18
         So, I am trying to get a better sense of the PPSP
19
         Plan, or PPSP Hedging Plan. So, just confirm
20
         that what it is about is whenever the consultant
21
         sort of looks at the data for a particular date,
2.2
         and they trigger the purchases, the purchases are
23
         going to happen for May through September,
24
         correct? Or, is it April through --
```

```
1
          (Gilbertson) The purchases are going to take
 2
         place from April through September, once a
 3
         month, --
 4
         Yes.
 5
         (Gilbertson) -- for increments during the winter
 6
         period, November through April.
 7
    Q
         Okay. So, the supplies, I'm just trying to
 8
         understand, --
 9
         (Gilbertson) Sure.
10
         Supplies are, so, when you purchase something in,
11
         let's say, April, --
12
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
13
         -- okay, you're saying "I'm committing to", pure
14
         example, "15,000 therms", right, just as an
15
         example. Committing to purchase that in the
16
         entire six months in the winter, or is it just
17
         for, let's say, in November or December?
18
         (Gilbertson) It's for the entire six months in
    Α
19
         the winter, in increments. It's not the full
20
         amount for, say, November, December, or January.
21
         It's just 10 percent of November, 12 percent of
22
         December, and then, until you build it and you
23
         get 100 percent all the way across the entire
24
         summer period.
```

```
1
                    Does that make sense?
 2
         So, just confirm, though, that I got it right or
 3
         not. So, let's say in that, in my example, you
 4
         purchased 15 -- you're committing 15,000, for the
 5
         entire winter, you purchase it in April, and we
 6
         are not talking about May, June, July, August,
 7
         September, just about April, that 15,000 is then
         broken up into pieces percentagewise for the six
 8
         months in winter?
 9
10
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
11
         Okay. And that is what the consultant tells you
12
         or is it part of the --
1.3
         (Gilbertson) The consultant --
14
         -- that Liberty Utilities says that this is what
15
         you're going to buy?
16
         (Gilbertson) No. The consultant just tells us
17
         when the market has dipped, and that's when we go
18
         out, and we have this predetermined amount, and
19
         the supplier has it, too, and we say "Today's the
20
         day, go get it."
21
         So, the breakups are determined by Liberty
22
         Utilities?
23
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
24
         Okay. And, also, the consultant is told "700,000
```

```
1
         is what we need", correct?
 2
         (Gilbertson) No.
 3
         Okay. So, how -- can you explain?
 4
         (Gilbertson) The consultant is not -- is not
 5
         contracted by Keene. The consultant we use for
 6
         other territories. But the consultant is doing
 7
         what the consultant does best, and that's they
 8
         watch the market. And they know when the market
 9
         has dropped, or they tell us when is a good time
10
         to get out there. That's what we pay them for.
11
         I understand.
    Q
12
         (Gilbertson) So, when they say the day to go, to
13
         do it, that's when we say to the supplier
14
         "Trigger it and go get the April portion."
15
         So, the other way to ask my question is, since
    0
16
         you mentioned just April, that 15,000 is purely
17
         Liberty Utilities' call, and overall, when you
18
         count everything total, in this case, is 700,000
19
         for the entire winter, that's really Liberty
20
         Utilities' call?
21
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
2.2
         Have you considered asking the consultant what
23
         would be the better approach for the next winter,
24
         in terms of also the quantities that you can buy?
```

1 (Gilbertson) The consultant is only the price. 2 They don't know the property, they don't know 3 what our business is. They just know when the 4 price has fallen, and then they tell us. 5 don't care about our spreadsheet. 6 So, the answer is that you -- you haven't 7 considered even talking to a consultant, and 8 seeing whether there might be other degrees of 9 freedom, in terms of the quantity that needs to 10 be purchased next winter? 11 Α (Gilbertson) Typically, we try to purchase 65 percent before going into the winter. This 12 1.3 program serves to capture much of that. We also 14 have the Amherst Tank, that is shared by 15 EnergyNorth and Keene, and that also represents a 16 portion of that 65 percent. 17 If you hedge too much, it's bad, 18 because you have to take it. And, in a warm 19 winter, such as the one we just got through, we 20 were able to take all of this propane, but we 21 didn't have to buy a lot of spot propane. So, in 2.2 other words, we were close. You don't want to 23 hedge too much. Sixty-five (65) percent is a 24 good amount. And we use that with other

1 territories as well. 2 Is it possible that 65 percent is too much, given 3 how the markets are expected to play out in a particular, you know, point in time? 4 5 (Gilbertson) Well, with Keene, everything is based on trucking. So, it's not just the 6 7 commodity. You want to make sure you have 8 enough, and I think 65 percent is a good number. 9 But it's the trucking. I mean, years ago, they 10 could go out and buy spot gas, have no problem. 11 But the trucking is in big competition now with 12 other LDCs. Because not only is propane trucked, 1.3 so is LNG, and trucking has become an issue in 14 the industry. So, locking in and guaranteeing 15 that you have 65 percent showing up is very, very 16 important. And this is a delivered product. So, 17 it's guarantied to show up, barring any force 18 majeure or --19 Okay. So, there's another thing that I need to Q 20 understand, which is, let's say you purchased 21 125,000, you know, in May, okay? When you are into the winter, you have storage capacity, 22 23 right? You can -- is it possible that you 24 purchase too much, and you haven't used enough

```
1
         for a particular month, let's say, January, then
 2
         you have to -- you ended up buying a lot more,
 3
         you can play with that and put that in storage,
 4
         and, you know, that's -- trying to understand, is
 5
         there wiggle-room there?
 6
         (Gilbertson) So, the way the table works is it,
 7
         in total, --
 8
         Yes.
    0
 9
         (Gilbertson) -- it's got a load shape. So, in
10
         November, for instance, of the 700,000, only 13
11
         percent is November. December is, and I actually
12
         have this, December is 20 percent, January is 24
1.3
         percent, and this would be 65 percent for each
14
         month, because it follows the load shape.
15
                    So, we have not run into a problem.
16
         And, if we were going to run into a problem, it
17
         would have been last winter, because it was so
18
                That's why 65 percent is good, because it
         warm.
19
         keeps you below, it allows for, if it is a warm
20
         winter, you're not taking 100 percent, you can
21
         still take it all, but you just don't have to buy
22
         as much spot.
23
         As you are -- I'm sorry. Sorry. As you are
24
         planning for the next winter, you have done the
```

```
1
         analysis to, and just confirm this, have you done
 2
         any analysis on what is the premium?
 3
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
 4
         Is the 13.4 percent, is that what it is, or that
 5
         was for the previous year? And, so, I'm confused
 6
         a little bit. When you go to Schedule D, I think
 7
         it was, let me go there.
 8
    Α
          (Gilbertson) D-1.
 9
    Q
         D-1, D-2.
10
         (Gilbertson) D-1.
11
         D-1.
12
          (Gilbertson) So, what D-1 does, and, so, this was
13
         last year.
14
         Uh-huh.
    0
15
          (Gilbertson) And, if you look at April, the April
16
         Mont Belvieu price, that's the forward strip of
17
         what we purchased in April, I believe it was
18
         140,000 gallons. That's the weighted average
19
         price at Mont Belvieu for that forward November
20
         through April strip.
21
                    And, so, the following columns are
22
         the -- the broken down, say, basis. I mean, we
23
         know what the pipeline rate is, because they have
24
         a tariff, and we use that rate. There's a broker
```

1.3

and supplier fee, which at the terminals you know that they're going to get a cut, and we don't know exactly what that is, but a conservative number. Then, there's a PERC fee, there's trucking, and trucking from -- from Selkirk to Keene. And then, you get a Keene price of what -- if we went out and we bought that same strip at that same time, with all those incremental fees, what would that price have been on that, at that same time?

And what it shows us is that, if you're still -- we're looking at still that April strip, we would have paid \$1.06 -- 1.648. But the Plan price was less. So, the basis, in other words, is less than those total incremental charges, which is the pipeline, the broker fee, the PERC fee, the trucking, so -- by 11 percent.

And that's what that particular schedule serves to show is that, did that premium -- does that really -- is it close to what those costs would have been, and is it reasonable? And, yes, it is.

So, can you explain what's going on in D-2, like you have a 13.4 percent? Is that for the future,

right?

1.3

A (Gilbertson) Yes. So, last year, when the program was finished, and all the hedging had been accomplished, the contract price was what you see in Column 1, without saying it out loud. And you see the volumes that were used in November, December, and January, because that's our load shape. That was at the contract -- that was the price at the contract price.

But, if you see in Column 4, you can see that the average cost, when we really did get to November, was lower. And what that tells us is that, when we were hedging over the summer period, prices were high, and we locked in at the market price at that time. But, when November materialized, November was less money. So, the program didn't win, in other words. But, I mean, it did, because you got your supply, and you certainly need to have that guarantied supply, but it didn't net a savings.

So, do you, and I'm just -- really, where I was going was, that 13.4 percent calculation, you do that every time you come up with the cost of gas filing, right?

```
1
          (Gilbertson) Yes. We're just looking at last
 2
         year, you know, the spot versus the --
 3
    Q
         But do you do it like previously, in the cost of
 4
         gas filing, have you done that same thing?
 5
         (Gilbertson) Yes, every time.
 6
         And do you have a sense of how this 13.4 percent
 7
         compared with the other?
 8
         (Gilbertson) It's usually not -- it's usually a
 9
         credit. It's usually -- we usually do better.
10
         This was an example of when it didn't, we didn't
11
         gain on this; we lost on it. But, most other
12
         years, there's a gain there.
1.3
         So, would you, if this is what you witnessed,
    Q
14
         would you be worried about what you should be
15
         doing going forward the next time around, and
16
         sort of think about triggering the use of this
17
         hedging mechanism in a different way, to balance
18
         the idea of sort of having less volatility, and
19
         as well as, you know, ultimately, this is about
20
         ratepayers paying the costs.
21
                    So, I'm trying to -- what I'm trying to
2.2
         understand is, does this analysis help you in any
23
         way to figure out whether there are needs to
24
         change the hedging approach?
```

1.3

2.2

```
A (Gilbertson) I think this is necessary to track.

But I don't think this would say -- we would say

"Oh, let's not do this." Or, "Let's buy it all

in one month." Or, let's -- you have to have a

supply. And, as I said, the trucking is so

critical right now, that the guarantied delivery

of that supply is equally as important as the

supply itself.
```

I believe in this program. I think
it's a good program. I believe, years ago, they
used to buy it, like, maybe in one month or in
two months. And the Commission said "Gee, you're
speculating. Maybe you want to do this more
uniformly, and not try to speculate, because, in
a falling market, the customers will end up
paying more."

So, as I see you have an opinion about that that 65 percent is a good number. What I'm trying to drive at is, there should be some analysis to support that number. And it's possible that, given the market realities, that number may not be the best number. It may be 55 percent this time around. So, there should be some variability to the percentage that you buy, and

```
1
         yet you may not have issues with trucking.
 2
                   So, that's where I'm trying to go.
 3
         again, I understand your points, though, what
 4
         you're saying.
 5
         (Gilbertson) It is based on a normalized
 6
         forecast. So, everything is based on normalized
 7
         forecast.
 8
         Okay. So, let's go to Schedule B, Page 2, and I
 9
         am going to be looking at the Excel file.
10
         the latest was I think filed on the -- it says
11
         "2023-04-13 UPDATE". And I think we looked at
12
         the prices. So, this is a consistent -- this is
1.3
         a file that we should be looking at. And, so,
14
         this Page 2 is where the number "26,705" appears,
15
         correct?
16
         (King) That's correct.
17
    Q
         Okay.
18
                   MS. SCHWARZER: I'm sorry, Commissioner
19
         Chattopadhyay. On Page 2, I'm not seeing the
20
         number "26,705". I thought that was on Page 1.
21
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: It is -- it does
22
         appear, if you have the Excel file, if you go to
         Page 2, it does appear, I'll give you the exact
23
24
         cell number, it's 087, Excel reference.
```

```
1
                    MS. SCHWARZER:
                                    Thank you.
                                                Thank you
 2
         very much.
 3
    BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
 4
         So, this is a little bit baffling to me, so I'm
 5
         just trying to understand it, okay. So,
 6
         conceptually, you are looking at the costs,
 7
         you're looking at what the revenues are.
 8
         (King) Uh-huh.
 9
         Correct? I'm just --
    Q
10
         (King) Yes.
11
         Okay. And, when you look at the costs, okay, the
12
         Commission had said 25/75 would be the way the
1.3
         demand charges would be split, as far as the CNG
14
         cost is concerned, correct?
15
         (King) Correct.
    Α
16
         So, if you're thinking about what the costs were
17
         for summer, based on what the Commission had
18
         ordered previously, if I go to the Company's
19
         Line 13, where the "CNG Demand Charges" are
20
         appearing, I would, instead of using "2,292", it
21
         just -- I would use what was allocated to that
         month. So, it was, for summer, you have six
2.2
23
         months to recover the demand charges. That's
24
                     So, to me, this appears a little bit
         your cost.
```

1 off.

1.3

2.2

And I know that the previous iteration, last year's cost of gas filing, there was this issue that the dollar was lumped into October to take care of it. But this, it seems a little bit off.

Can you just provide some explanation why you're doing it this way?

A (King) Yes. So, Schedule B was to just show the full twelve months, and what occurs each month for the summer cost of gas, outside of the season that was audited. I'm sorry, I just jumped pages.

So, like you were saying, the amount should be recovered in the six months. If you look, so, on Schedule B, 2, it's brought out to twelve months to show the total figure in Column 14, which is the 25 percent of the total amount for CNG demand.

If you go to Schedule B, Page 1,

Line 11, we show that as being recovered in the

six months. So, we're showing that, despite

it -- despite the charges are being recorded over

twelve months, the amount is responsible for six

1 months. 2 I don't have an issue with this page and how 3 you're going to recover it. What I'm concerned 4 about, if you go back to Page 2, this is 5 reporting the reconciliation for the previous. 6 (King) Uh-huh. And I think the -- I don't understand why the 7 Q 8 number, for example, in cell -- Excel cell D35, 9 shouldn't be double the amount of that, and it 10 goes for six months like that, and then it's zero 11 for the rest of the months. And this is because 12 it's the idea looking at what your costs were and 1.3 what are your revenues during the period. 14 then, what -- that's what I'm trying to 15 understand. 16 And, so, I'm not -- I think the rest of 17 it is done fine. So, when you're -- you're still 18 using that ____, whatever, plus. Is that a 19 confidential number? Sorry. But, you know, 20 you're using that in other places, I have no 21 issues. Here, I'm just raising the point, this 2.2 could be done differently. 23 And what that means is, ultimately, the 24 numbers that appear in Row 87 would be different,

```
1
         okay? In fact, the numbers would be different
 2
         even in Row 83, and both are Excel, you know,
 3
         references. So, and it probably wouldn't change
 4
         things too much, but, conceptually, that is, to
 5
         me, the right approach.
 6
         (King) Uh-huh. Okay.
 7
    Q
         Because, otherwise, for example, if I raise the
 8
         question of in winter, too, you have to do it for
 9
         six months, and you're going to spread it out for
10
         twelve months. Essentially, you're saying you
11
         have, you know, both summer and winter, you're
12
         recovering the same amounts. I'm not saying
1.3
         that's what you're doing. That wouldn't make
14
         sense, because the 25/75 was driven by some
15
         consideration.
16
                    The way it appears here, it would be
17
         12. -- you know, it's 12.5 percent, and rest of
18
         it is winter. I just don't like that appearance.
19
         So, it's something to consider.
20
         (King) Correct.
    Α
21
    Q
         Okay.
2.2
         (King) And it was almost the reverse
23
         consideration of why we expanded this schedule
24
         for the additional six months, is to show that,
```

1 despite the costs occurring in six months, 2 they're recorded over twelve. 3 Q Yes. But, if you were recording the costs, it 4 would still be, because those costs are meant to 5 be recovered during the six summer months, should 6 be recorded there. You may have a point, in 7 terms of the revenue, because that was included 8 in the rates previously. And until you, you 9 know, change it, it's there. So, maybe we go 10 into May, it will be there. But that's not the 11 issue here. 12 So, really, I'd like, you know, this 1.3 is -- I'm going to ask the same question perhaps 14 for DOE, but it's a little bit confusing. 15 Α (Gilbertson) I think the reason that it's like 16 this is because it's invoiced twelve months, at a 17 certain dollar amount. They don't break it out 18 on the invoice, we do that ourselves. So, we get 19 an invoice twelve times a year. And I believe 20 that's why this summer period reconciliation 21 shows that, over the whole twelve months, it does 2.2 add up to the 25 percent. Because this is -- but 23 we do get invoiced in February, and through the 24 winter, too. The invoice doesn't break it out

```
1
         for us.
 2
                    And that might be the reason. I mean,
 3
         I could be wrong, but --
 4
         (King) Ms. Gilbertson is correct.
 5
         Yes. But, even then, there are lots of
 6
         mismatches that you deal with. The point I'm
 7
         making is, this is about recognizing that 25
 8
         percent of that entire cost is allocated to
 9
         summer as costs. And, so, that's why this is a
10
         little, you know, difficult to think through and
11
         be at a perfect place.
12
         (Gilbertson) Maybe this is separate, because this
1.3
         doesn't necessarily create the rate, but the
14
         other one does.
15
         But this does end up informing the
    0
16
         over-/under-collection amount, because,
17
         ultimately, interest rates also appear there and
18
         things like that. So, this does, and it may be
19
         minor, but it does impact, it seems to me.
20
                    Okay. I think --
21
         (King) And Schedule B, 1, will all be reconciled
    Α
2.2
         at the end of the period. So, once we go for
23
         reconciliation, Schedule B will look more like
24
         Page 2 than Page 1, with it broken out for the
```

whole year.

1.3

2.2

Yes. I think, ultimately, you have to explain to me better why you're doing this. And the point I'm trying to make is, the under- or over-collection does appear, you know, in the big scheme of things, and there interest rates matter and all of that. So, this may be not a big issue. But, still, I am -- I like to make sure that, you know, things are being done accurately to the best of my knowledge. This is a little something that seems off. So, that's the comments there, okay?

so, if you go to the -- I forget what exhibit it would be, the Audit Report, and Page 2, says something to the effect of "The 2021 incremental summer gas costs were estimated because the Company did not yet have the actual costs when the Settlement Agreement was reached for March/April 2021. The Company provided only to Audit an updated Appendix 4 for incremental gas costs incurred through Summer 2022. The Summer 2022 incremental gas costs were estimated to be a \$10,631 customer charge."

So, my very quick question is, did the

```
1
         Company provide actual costs to the Audit
 2.
         Division later?
 3
    Α
         (King) Yes. And I believe that 10,000 figure is
 4
         correct. That was the amount.
 5
         Okay. That was the actual?
 6
         (King) Yes.
 7
    Q
         Okay.
 8
         (King) The only line that should have been
 9
         forecasted in that table would be that last line,
10
         that says the "DG 015 forecast" [sic].
11
    Q
         Okay.
12
         (King) So, that ended up being -- prices ended up
1.3
         switching on that. So, we had forecasted a
14
         savings, and it ended up being a cost per the
15
         actuals.
16
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
17
         That's all I have.
18
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. I think now
19
         would be -- I don't think we have much left, my
20
         questions are minimal. But let's take a brief
21
         break for the Court Reporter. And let's return
2.2
         at 10 till. Okay. Thank you.
23
                    (Recess taken at 10:26 a.m., and the
24
                    hearing resumed at 10:40 a.m.)
```

1 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. We'll go back 2. on the record, and pick up with Commissioner 3 questions. I just have a single question, and 4 then we can move to redirect. 5 BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: 6 So, my question for the witnesses, and, please, 7 anyone can answer, are there any degrees of 8 freedom, you know, from the Commission, that 9 Liberty would request to enable a lower price? 10 In other words, have we, at the Commission, put 11 you under constraints that you wish to be 12 relieved from? Or, do you feel like you have all 1.3 the tools you need to get the lowest price in the 14 market, given all the considerations that you 15 have to deal with, with trucking and so forth? 16 (Gilbertson) I don't think the Commission has put 17 us under any constraints. 18 Okay. Q 19 (Gilbertson) I think we've got the tools we need 20 to go out and get the least-cost supplies for the 21 customers. 2.2 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you. 23 And my encouragement would be, in the future, if 24 there is something that you would like to bring

```
1
         to our attention, please, please do so, and we'd
 2.
         be happy to take a look at that.
 3
                    Okay. Very good. Anything else,
 4
         Commissioner Chattopadhyay, that you'd like to
 5
         follow up on?
 6
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No.
 7
                                                   Let's
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Very good.
         move to redirect, and Attorney Sheehan.
 8
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
10
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
11
         I just have one question on the discussion over
12
         the 65 percent being the target for the Propane
1.3
         Purchasing Plan, which is essentially the hedge
14
         that Keene Division uses for the winter, is that
15
         correct?
16
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
17
         Is that figure, 65 percent, a common hedging
18
         target for other gas utilities, to your
19
         knowledge?
20
         (Gilbertson) Yes, it is.
21
         And who do you talk to? I mean, you say -- my
2.2
         question was in the industry, and you said "yes".
23
         What's that based on? You know, what context do
24
         you have, what experience do you have with other
```

1 companies? 2 (Gilbertson) Well, I've work for many other 3 companies over my career, I quess, I don't even 4 want to say how many years in the industry, let's 5 just say "more than 20". And, yes, that is 6 common, to -- you want to lock in your supply 7 anywhere between 50 and 75 percent, in other 8 areas, such as Missouri, Iowa, Illinois, Georgia, 9 this is -- we hedge at those percentages in those 10 areas. But those areas have storage, and this is 11 a physical supply. This is not -- this is not 12 just a financial hedge. It's absolutely 1.3 necessary to have the supply. So, 65 percent, 14 for this property, is a good number. It's been 15 the same number for quite some time, and the 16 property hasn't changed that much. It's the same 17 number of customers, it's basically the same 18 forecast each -- for each period. 19 It's not broken. I wouldn't fix it. 20 You listed some states. Those are Liberty 21 affiliates that are gas companies? 2.2 (Gilbertson) Yes. Yes. 23 And your team, although based here in New 24 Hampshire time, serves those companies the same

```
1
         function you serve here for Keene, is that
 2.
         correct?
 3
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
 4
         You buy the gas, you appear at the cost of gas
 5
         hearings for those Commissions, et cetera, is
 6
         that right?
 7
          (Gilbertson) Well, we have other people, I don't
    Α
 8
         necessarily do, but our team does, yes.
 9
    Q
         And the other two that I think you didn't
10
         mention, there's a small gas utility in New York
11
         State, St. Lawrence, and another --
12
          (Gilbertson) And New Brunswick Gas as well, yes.
1.3
         And they all have hedging targets that are more
14
         or less the same, is that true?
15
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
16
         And, in your contacts with colleagues in other
17
         utilities, do you talk to them about these kinds
18
         of issues, you know, Northern, or the colleagues
19
         outside of Georgia or New York or the like?
20
         (Gilbertson) National Grid, I worked for National
21
         Grid for five years.
2.2
    Q
         Okay.
23
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Seven years, actually.
24
          I guess I'm just getting to the point, it's not
```

```
1
         Debbie's opinion that "65 percent is a good
 2
         number", it really is an industry, as you say,
 3
         between 50 and 75, depending on the particulars
 4
         of that company, those are common targets?
 5
         (Gilbertson) That's normal, yes.
 6
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. That's all I
 7
         have.
 8
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
 9
         witnesses are excused. You can join the hearing
10
         room, if you'd like. And, once you're settled
11
         in, the DOE, I assume you would like to put your
12
         witness on the stand, Attorney Schwarzer?
1.3
                   MS. SCHWARZER: I would. Thank you,
14
         Mr. Chairman.
15
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
16
                    [Short pause.]
17
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right, everyone.
18
         Let's settle back in.
19
                   Would you please swear in the witness,
20
         Mr. Patnaude.
21
                    (Whereupon FAISAL DEEN ARIF was duly
22
                   sworn by the Court Reporter.)
23
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right. Attorney
24
         Schwarzer, please proceed.
```

[WITNESS: Arif]

1		MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you.
2		FAISAL DEEN ARIF, SWORN
3		DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY M	S. SCHWARZER:
5	Q	Would you please introduce yourself for the
6		record?
7	А	My name is Faisal Deen Arif. I am the Gas
8		Director at the New Hampshire Department of
9		Energy.
10	Q	And if you could give us a very brief summary of
11		your educational background?
12	A	I have a Doctor of Philosophy in Economics, with
13		specialization in Regulatory Economics.
14	Q	Thank you. And did you file have you
15		testified before the Commission before?
16	А	I have.
17	Q	Did you file testimony in this particular docket?
18	A	I did not.
19	Q	So, I'm going to direct your attention to
20		Liberty's response to DOE 1-3, having to do with
21		the difference between the over and under
22		calculation, comparing the audit number of
23		approximately, these are confidential, I believe,
24		13,000 to 26,705. Do you remember the discussion

```
1
         among the Commissioners and the Company witnesses
 2
         on that topic?
 3
    Α
         I do.
 4
         What could be the reason for the Company posting
 5
         demand charges equally, as they do, on
 6
         Schedule B, Page 2, specifically as reflected in
 7
         the live Excel spreadsheets?
 8
         I believe, if my memory serves well, the
 9
         witnesses for the Company was alluding to the
10
         fact that this is fixed for a 12-month period,
11
         and the accounting is done by distributing the
12
         12-month period sum equally over 12 months.
1.3
         understand that that is an accounting feature.
                                                           Τ
14
         cannot speak to what the Company does and why
15
         they do the way they do accounting.
16
                    But, for verification purposes, both
17
         from audit perspective and regulatory
18
         perspective, it is extremely important for the
19
         Department to be able to look through the books
20
         and the numbers that sort of speak to each other,
21
         so that we can verify and form our opinion.
2.2
                    If I take the words of the Company the
23
         way they have explained it, it's the billing
24
         feature, it's how it's been done.
                                             Then, it makes
```

7 4

```
1
         somewhat -- it makes sense to me.
 2
         Is it your understanding that, with regard to CNG
 3
         demand charges, the summer period, pursuant to
 4
         Commission order, pays 25 percent of those
 5
         charges?
 6
         Yes.
 7
         And, in contrast, the winter period pays 75
 8
         percent of those charges, correct?
 9
         Yes.
10
         And, in terms of the demand charges, and I
11
         believe this is confidential, for the sake of
12
         argument, hypothetically, let's say they're
1.3
         $110,000. The winter -- the equal division of a
14
         monthly bill would not permit a 75 percent
         allocation from that bill?
15
16
         It would, if you are separating them, and then
17
         reallocating over the 12-month period, if that
18
         makes sense.
19
         But would it have permit it over a 6-month
    Q
20
         period?
21
    Α
         It would, yes.
2.2
         Can you explain that a bit more?
23
         I think that's what -- that is the whole purpose
24
         of Page 2 of Schedule B that we're looking at.
```

1.3

2.2

I, as you probably we all can recall, I have joined the Department since June of 2022.

So, it's fairly recent. One of the challenges that I was facing, when I looked at the similar figures from the past, was to reconcile the very last amount that sort of comes up as a prior period balance. Because of the billing feature, or whatever accounting practices that is at the end of the Company, I was not — there is — if it's done differently, a significant adjustment comes right at the end that sort of makes the prior period balance.

And, for forecasting purposes, for ratemaking purposes, that prior period balance is an important number. And, without having a very good understanding of that prior period balance, it's — the thought came to my mind is anybody's guess. I did not want to dwell in that, and I wanted to have a pretty thorough understanding, which I could not, when I was looking at other past submissions. Excuse me. So, I had a conversation with the Company to better understand how things are done at their end.

1 ramification of that conversation. 2 Moving on. In this particular docket, Keene is 3 divided into both a winter and a summer cost of 4 gas, distinct from EnergyNorth, which is an 5 annual cost of gas, correct? 6 That is correct. 7 Is the benefit of the summer cost of gas that 8 there is an ability to review both propane 9 hedging and contract plans, including, 10 essentially, CNG and RNG in advance of the fall 11 period? I believe it does. 12 1.3 Are there any other advantages to keeping Liberty 14 separate into a winter and summer cost of gas docket? 15 16 There are multiple reasons why, in my view, I 17 think it's preferable to have it separate. 18 sort of boils down to two factors, essentially. 19 One is the principle of maximizing the 20 use of known information, as opposed to predicted 2.1 information. The nature of the business is as 2.2 such that we cannot ever get away from 23 prediction. However, we should also try, in my 24 view, to maximize the use of known information.

And the way, if we keep it separate, we have the benefit of maximizing it, or using known information more, as opposed to just doing it once in every 12-month period.

The other issue is the volatility in the market. I think it's fair to say, at least in my review and judgment, that last winter -- last summer the gas prices were extremely volatile in the market. And the 12-month period, with the higher degree of volatility, does not serve well the purpose of doing meaningful prediction that would withstand the test at the end of the period, when everything becomes known.

- Q Thank you. You were present and heard the Company's testimony about its potential use of brown RNG?
- 17 A I did.

2.

1.3

2.2

At this -- does the Department at this time have an opinion about the applicability of RSA 362-I:2, which is entitled "Procurement of Renewable Natural Gas and Investment in Renewable Natural Gas Infrastructure by Gas Utilities", does the Department have an opinion at this time as to whether this statute applies to Liberty's

```
1
         proposal to buy brown RNG?
 2
         Not at this time.
 3
         Finally, with regard to revised Schedule B, Bates
 4
         Page R019, Line 26, which shows a combined
 5
         propane and CNG per therm cost of "$1.4795" per
 6
         therm, in your opinion, is that per therm rate
 7
         for the Summer of 2023 just and reasonable and in
         the public interest?
 8
         Based on the information presented to Department
 9
10
         of Energy, and review, I do, I believe.
11
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. No further
12
         questions.
1.3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Let's move to
14
         Liberty for cross.
15
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. I just have
16
         one small area.
17
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
19
         Mr. King spent some time explaining the
20
         correction in the incremental calculation that
21
         caused us to refile. And I suspect you are
2.2
         reasonably familiar with the process of
23
         calculating an over and under between CNG and
24
         propane, and how you carry the numbers forward,
```

1 Is that fair? et cetera. 2 I believe so. 3 My question for you is, prior orders require us 4 to track CNG and propane separately and go 5 through this calculation. Do you think it is 6 necessary going forward to continue that 7 practice, or could -- in your view, would it be 8 appropriate for the Company to move to a -- to 9 not do that? Analogizing to EnergyNorth, where 10 we have many different supplies at many different 11 costs, and they're all blended into one cost of 12 gas rate. Do you have an opinion on that? 1.3 I believe the short answer is "I think, yes, 14 there is a reason, and it should continue." 15 And my understanding, based on the 16 history of this document, and particularly this 17 was -- this came by from a Settlement Agreement, 18 if I understand it, in 20-105. I stand to be 19 corrected on the docket number. My understanding 20 is that my predecessor had a role in putting the 21 way the Settlement Agreement reads, which 2.2 basically translates into the calculation that we 23 are looking at. And that was to ensure that the 24 customers are benefiting from having to convert

```
1
         from propane to CNG for a foreseeable period of
 2.
         time, where the Company asserted that the -- it
 3
         is beneficial for the customer.
 4
                    So, this is a way to hold the Company
 5
         to account of that assertion, in terms of
 6
         demonstrating on submission -- in submissions
 7
         that that is indeed translating out to be what it
 8
         was anticipated at the beginning.
 9
                    If you look into the Schedule N, and
10
         the figures that we were looking at, I think that
11
         the time has not yet come to a point where we can
12
         definitively assert one way or the other. And,
1.3
         therefore, it is reasonable to continue for a
         little while.
14
15
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. I won't go any
16
         further on that topic.
17
                    Thank you. That's all I have.
18
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
19
         We'll move to Commissioner questions, with
20
         Commissioner Chattopadhyay.
2.1
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Good morning.
2.2
                    WITNESS ARIF: Good morning.
23
    BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
24
         Because there's nobody here that is public, so,
```

```
1
         all of the information is -- you know, everyone
 2
         here is privy to confidential information, the
 3
         way the demand charge works, do you know whether
 4
         it's -- like it's a certain amount for the entire
 5
         year, right? It's _____ --
 6
    Α
         Yes.
 7
         -- for the entire year. Do you know how it is
 8
         recovered through bills?
 9
         My understanding is what -- looking at the
10
         submission, is the way that the Company would put
         in the submission, that's how it would sort of
11
12
         translate, or it would make its way into the
1.3
         charges, into the rates, and that's how it would
14
         be recovered.
15
         I guess my question is, the invoices, are they
16
          _____ divided by 12 months, every month, that's
17
         the amount?
18
         I do not have any information on that.
19
         Okay. If you were going by what the invoices
20
         are, and if the -- if what I stated is true,
21
         which is that amount is recovered through equal
2.2
         12 monthly, you know, 12 months invoices, would
23
         you have a different opinion as to how the over-
24
         or under-collection is calculated, if you have
```

```
1
         thought through it? If not, then just, you
 2
         know, --
 3
    Α
         So, if I understand your question, Commissioner
 4
         Chattopadhyay, a bit better, so, for the purposes
 5
         of illustration, if we take 100,000, because
 6
         that's easy, and we have a split of 75/25, that
 7
         would mean that 25,000 is to be recovered from
 8
         summer periods and 75 from winter periods.
                    Now, if that whole 100,000 is equally
 9
         divided over a 12-month period, and each monthly
10
11
         amount is to be recovered from two different
12
         periods separately, and, therefore, it is, again,
1.3
         divided by 12 months for 25, 25,000 by 12 months,
14
         and 75,000 by 12 months, and that's been booked
15
         that way, I would not change my opinion, the way
16
         the submission is done, for the purposes of being
17
         able to verify Company's filing with the books.
18
                    If the books are done differently,
19
         absolutely. If my answer makes sense?
20
         It does. Do know whether, in your example, for,
21
         you know, the $75,000, being allocated to winter,
22
         is looked at in the analysis by spreading it
23
         through 12 months?
24
         That is my understanding.
```

```
1
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: That is your
         understanding. Okay.
 2.
 3
                    Thank you. That's all I have.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I have no further
 5
         questions for Dr. Deen Arif.
 6
                    WITNESS ARIF: May I?
 7
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Sure.
 8
                    WITNESS ARIF: I apologize.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
10
                    WITNESS ARIF: I want to voice my
11
         opinion on another matter that has been
         discussed.
12
1.3
                    It was about the PPSP, so, Propane
         Purchase Stabilization Plan. And I understand
1 4
15
         that, Commissioner Chattopadhyay, you had a
16
         question about the 13 percent that, in Schedule
17
         D-2, turns out to be not in favor of the
18
         ratepayers.
19
                    If I understand correctly, the
20
         Company's assertion is that 65 percent of hedging
2.1
         is a good plan. I have no opinion on that. I
2.2
         recognize that they have the tools as they have
23
         asserted. What comes to my mind is that, given
24
         the structure that we have, there are measures
```

1 that are known and widely used, something like 2 Sharpe ratio, that, basically, gets the measure 3 of asset return vis-à-vis the risk-free return 4 over the spread of the asset return. That sort 5 of over time that kind of an analysis would 6 really provide a meaningful way of looking at 7 whether the hedging plan is working or not. 8 It just happened to be, while listening 9 to the discussion, it happened to be coming to my 10 mind that analysis of that type could be useful 11 for this purposes that can benefit everybody. 12 That's in my opinion. 1.3 That's all I have to say. 14 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. That's 15 very helpful, and we appreciate the explanation. 16 Just for future reference, normally, 17 what happens is, your attorney would ask you, 18 either on direct or redirect, for some 19 clarification. So, I appreciate the 20 clarification. But, just as a normal practice, 2.1 that's sort of the normal procedure. 2.2 So, I think Dr. Deen Arif saved you 23 some trouble, Ms. Schwarzer. But, if you have 24 any further questions on redirect, those would be

```
1
         welcome at this time?
 2.
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you, Mr.
 3
         Chairman.
 4
                    I would just ask if the Commission is
 5
         interested in taking administrative notice of the
 6
         Settlement Agreement that was referenced,
 7
         regarding the incremental costs? It appears in
         Docket Number 20-105. It's Exhibit 49. And it's
 8
         Section 7.0 and 7.1, and I believe Appendices 4
 9
10
         and 5.
11
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Any objection from
12
         the Company?
1.3
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No, sir.
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. We'll take
14
         administrative notice.
15
                    [Administrative notice taken.]
16
17
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. I have no
18
         further questions. Thank you.
19
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. All right.
20
         Well, thank you very much. The witness is
2.1
         released. Thank you, Dr. Deen Arif.
2.2
                   WITNESS ARIF: Thank you.
23
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And you're welcome
24
         to stay up there, since you're the last witness,
```

or join Attorney Schwarzer at the table, whatever you prefer.

[Short pause.]

1.3

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good. So, without objection, we'll admit Exhibits 1 through 9 into the record.

And we'll, at this point, take closing statements from the DOE, and then Liberty.

MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Department appreciates the work we've done with the Company during this expedited period of time.

My overall concern, just in closing, would be that, to the extent that brown RNG is covered by RSA 362-I:1, it would be very difficult, in the fall cost of gas, to include the Commission's review, and perhaps approval or disapproval of any RNG contract, were that necessary. So, just to flag that at this time, because cost of gas dockets are fast-moving dockets.

In the opinion of the Department, the proposed summer combined propane and CNG cost of

1.4795 per therm, as reflected in revised Schedule B, is just and reasonable. And we ask that the Commission consider approving Liberty's filing.

Thank you.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And I'll just address that briefly, Attorney Sheehan, before your remark.

I think it is advantage to have a matter considered that's not expedited inside this docket, the Commission would welcome an interim hearing. So, if that is appropriate, we would welcome the additional hearing.

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. On that piece, I hadn't read the statute again with this thought in mind, that brown RNG, for market purposes, is just gas. And just like we sign a contract without Commission approval until it's rolled into a cost of gas, could RNG -- brown RNG just fall in that bucket? It's just another source of gas that we're buying for Keene, or wherever.

Now, the statute may not say so. They may be a little more clear, any RNG, of whatever type, has to get PUC approval. If so, of course,

we will do that first. But that's the -- in my mind, the issue of whether that's required or not. And, again, it's not for today.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And, in closing, first, I appreciate

DOE's support, after their thorough review of our

filing. A couple comments.

Commissioner Chattopadhyay's question of Dr. Arif was "whether the demand charges are billed equally over the year?" I do believe our witnesses did say so that, of the total demand -- annual demand charge, we get a one-twelfth monthly bill for that total every month. So, I think that is in the record, and that is what happens, just to clarify.

Next, I did ask DOE about eliminating the CNG/propane cost mechanism we go through.

We're not proposing it here. It was interesting to hear his response.

Just a couple thoughts, because it will come up, either in the upcoming rate case, or perhaps in a cost of gas next year, is to -- is that Keene is moving away from propane-air. We have done the analysis. We are not building a new propane-air facility in Keene. And, so, in

order to continue serving Keene as a utility, we have to go to whatever the next one is. And it will take a number of years to convert away. And the most likely is some sort -- version of LNG/CNG, a bigger facility, that we can slowly convert away over the coming years.

2.

1.3

2.2

So, the fear -- or, I should say the concern that motivated Mr. Frink, and getting this provision in the Settlement Agreement, was "Maybe you're not going to move away from propane-air, and you've added the CNG that's going to be more expensive. And, in fact, we may decide that wasn't the right thing for you folks to do, so customers shouldn't bear an increased cost."

And to -- the response, I think, now is, we've had a number of seasons of CNG/propane, where the costs flip back and forth. There's not a clear winner, at least in the last few years, and I'll acknowledge it's a relatively short sample size. But, if you add that to the fact that there is no turning back in Keene. We're not going to close the CNG facility and just run the propane-air indefinitely; that is not going

to happen.

2.

1.3

2.2

So, if it turns out CNG, over 20 years, is marginally more expensive, we will argue it still was a prudent thing to do, because we can't operate that other system forever.

So, again, it's, I guess, not for you today, but those are the things we're thinking, and will be coming down the pike.

And the last comment, aside from the rates, is the Propane Plan -- Purchasing Plan, as the questioning was happening, I scrolled through the last ten years of Keene dockets. And I had to go all the way back to 2014 to see a year when it didn't "win". Every year, between '15 through '22, the purchases in the summer were less expensive than we would have paid spot. I just went to that same schedule over and over again, and the numbers were 10 percent, 23 percent, 18 percent less expensive under the Propane Purchase Plan.

Now, I say that, first, just to let you know. Second, I also remind you, that's not the purpose of the Plan primarily. The purpose of the Plan is to ensure supply. But, by buying it

```
1
         in the summer the way we do, it also often ends
 2.
         up in a less price.
 3
                    So, it's sort of a long reminder that
 4
         what happened this year was an anomaly. If you
 5
         all recall, last spring, it was shortly after the
 6
         Russian invasion, the whole world was
 7
         upside-down, and people were, for good reason,
 8
         panicking about the upcoming winter. And thus,
         we had those high prices that, thankfully,
 9
10
         subsided.
11
                    So, with all those side issues, it
12
         comes down to this is a plain vanilla Keene cost
1.3
         of gas hearing, where we followed the steps we've
14
         always followed. We have a proposed rate, and we
15
         ask for the Commission's approval.
16
                    Thank you.
17
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. I'll thank
18
         everyone for their time today.
19
                    Is there anything else that we need to
20
         consider?
2.1
                    [No verbal response.]
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: No? Okay. Very
2.2
23
         good.
24
                    Thank you, everyone, for your time
```

```
again. And we are adjourned.
 1
                      (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
 2
 3
                     at 11:12 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```